

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,027	06/21/2001	Mitsutoshi Miyasaka	036654.04	036654.04 4889	
25944 7	7590 03/13/2003				
OLIFF & BE	RRIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI	28 A, VA 22320		JACKSON JR, JEROME		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

مدن	•	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/885,027		MIYASAKA, MITSUTOSHI		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Jerome Jackson		2815		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cov r	sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NC - Failt - Any earn	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period warre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini ill apply and will expire to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from t	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication	a.	
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	<u>anuary 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	• -	s action is non-fir				
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E ion of Claims	nce except for for Ex parte Quayle,	mal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to the ments is 3 O.G. 213.	s	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from considera	tion.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requiren	nent.			
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Exam	iner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∐ approved	d b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		on.			
12) 🔲 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been receiv	ved.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				n)	
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provicts The translation of the transl	sional applicatior	n has been recei	ved.	,.	
Attachment(-				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗍 N	nterview Summary (F lotice of Informal Pat ther:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev.		n Summary		Part of Paper No. 8		



Art Unit: 2815

-

The terminal disclaimer filed on January 15, 2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US 6,335,542 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamazaki '386.

Yamazaki teaches a substrate 101, an underlevel protection layer 103 of 0.05-0.2 microns thickness, a semiconductor film 104 of 0.01-0.15 microns thickness, a gate insulator 105 of 0.02-0.15 microns thickness, and an interlevel insulator 110 of 0.3 microns thickness. At the maximum thickness, the combined thickness of the above

Application/Control Number: 09/885,027

Art Unit: 2815

ir

layers is less than 2 microns. Claim 2 is anticipated by or at least obvious over Yamazaki. Claim 1 is rejected because the amorphous silicon semiconductor layer 104 of Yamazaki has a preferable thickness of 30-80 nanometers (column 5 line 24) and the doping level of the channel is much less than 10E18/cm3 as the source and drain regions are doped in a process using the gate layer as a mask. The semiconductor layer 104 is deposited undoped by CVD or LPCVD.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki '386 in view of Miyasaka '958.

Miyasaka explains that CVD thin film semiconductor layers are typically deposited as intrinsic and doping for source and drain regions are effected through a gate mask. Such processing would have been obvious, advantageous, and typical for a Yamazaki type device because Yamazaki practiced CVD or LPCVD as Miyasaka. Accordingly, an intrinsic channel region is ordinary design for thin film channel regions as Yamazaki. Claim 1 is obvious structure or inherently anticipated.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the



Application/Control Number: 09/885,027

Art Unit: 2815

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER